Mechanical Characteristics of OsteoSyncTM Ti

Sites Medical Research and Development

Introduction

 $OsteoSync^{TM}$ Ti^{*} is a three-dimensional, open-celled titanium scaffold for bone and tissue ingrowth (Figure 1). It can be used as a standalone implant or combined with metal or polymer components to provide a region for bone ingrowth.

Figure 1:



A close-up view of the OsteoSync Ti microstructure.

OsteoSync Ti has a mean porosity of 58.8%, pore sizes ranging from 434-660 μ m, and a mean pore interconnectivity of 229 μ m¹. It is manufactured from grade 2 commercially pure titanium satisfying ASTM F67². OsteoSync Ti can be manufactured in thicknesses of 0.5 mm and greater. The standard thickness for most implants is 1 mm. If desired, OsteoSync Ti can be machined before or after it is attached to a substrate.

OsteoSync Ti can be metallurgically attached to pure Ti, Ti alloy, or CoCr alloy substrates using a proprietary diffusion bonding process. *OsteoSync* Ti also can be combined with a polymer via injection or compression molding.

Mechanical Strength

Strength requirements for metallic scaffolds are specified in the FDA's 1994 guidance document "Guidance Document for Testing Orthopedic Implants With Modified Metallic Surfaces Apposing Bone or Bone Cement"³. The scaffold and scaffold/substrate interface must satisfy a static strength of 20 MPa in both tension and shear, and the scaffold must be fatigue tested to 10 million cycles. Figure 2 displays the static strength results of *OsteoSync* Ti when combined with different metal substrate types as tested per ASTM defined methods⁴⁻⁷. Due to fixture failure rather than sample failure during some of these tests, these reported strengths are lower than the actual *OsteoSync* Ti/substrate strengths. Still, all results satisfied FDA requirements. Likewise, 10 million cycle fatigue testing for each of these substrate/*OsteoSync* Ti combinations exceeded 10 MPa, a strength level reported for the porous coating on a hip implant already cleared by the FDA^{4-5,8-9}.

Figure 2:



Static strengths of *OsteoSync* Ti when combined with various metal substrates. Due to fixture failure rather than sample failure during some of these tests, these reported strengths are lower than the actual sample strengths. Even so, all strengths satisfied FDA requirements.

Corrosion

Implant corrosion was assessed for the cases where OsteoSync Ti is diffusion bonded to a dissimilar substrate (CoCr)^{10,11}. Long-term and accelerated soak tests based on the methods outlined by Medlin were performed¹². To summarize, OsteoSync Ti was diffusion bonded to either wrought or cast CoCr substrates. These specimens were then submerged in mammalian Ringer's solution for either a minimum of 6 months at 37±1°C and or a minimum of 3 months at 50±2°C. Throughout the soak tests, the specimens were removed from the tanks periodically and inspected for signs of corrosion. Corrosion was not detected on any specimen at any point, whether at the interface between the CoCr substrate and OsteoSync Ti scaffold or within the OsteoSync Ti scaffold. This was the case regardless of specimen type, soak test condition, or manufacturing history of the parts.

^{*} Also marketed as *BioSync Ti*® and *FortiCore*®

Friction Coefficient

The frictional characteristics of *OsteoSync* Ti were assessed by performing friction testing of *OsteoSync* Ti against simulated bone using the methods outlined by Shirazi-Adl^{13,14}. To test, a vertical load normal to the *OsteoSync* Ti/10 pcf sawbone bone interface was applied to the material couple. Then, a horizontal displacement was applied at a constant rate to the simulated bone. The resulting friction force was recorded. Friction coefficient was then defined as the peak friction force divided by the nominal normal force. A friction coefficient of 1.07 (St. Dev = 0.10) was determined. This was significantly greater than the reported friction coefficient values for Biofoam[®], Trabecular Metal[®], plasma-sprayed Ti, and sintered beads tested against simulated bone (Figure 3)¹⁵.

Figure 3:



Friction coefficient of bone ingrowth materials tested against 10 pcf SAWBONE. Results for materials other than *OsteoSync* Ti were taken from Brownhill¹⁵.

Abrasive Wear Analysis

To simulate *OsteoSync* Ti abrasion due to implantation and/or micromotion after implantation, the procedure outlined in the FDA guidance document "Guidance Document for Testing Orthopedic Implants With Modified Metallic Surfaces Apposing Bone or Bone Cement" was followed^{3,16}. To summarize, a hardened cylinder was pressed against a test specimen at a specified normal load and cycled back-and-forth for 10 cycles. 7 different normal forces were used, and 3 different specimens were tested for each load. Abrasion was measured by quantifying the mass loss of the test coupons. It was found that *OsteoSync* Ti is inherently resistant to abrasion, as an insignificant amount of mass loss (0.193%) was measured at the largest test load (1000 N, Figure 4). For comparative purposes, the percentage mass loss of commercially available coatings such as titanium plasma spray, titanium sintered beads, and Biofoam have been reported as ~39%, ~9% and ~11-13% at a test load of 890 N¹⁷. Thus, mass loss of *OsteoSync* Ti due to abrasion was significantly less than that of these clinically used coatings, even when tested at higher normal loads.

Figure 4:



Percent Mass Loss $\%\Delta m$ as a function of applied load. Data points for materials other than *OsteoSync* Ti were taken from a graph in the literature and are estimated to be accurate to $\pm 1\%^{17}$. At all loads tested, *OsteoSync* Ti abrasion was negligible and significantly lower than that for the other porous scaffolds.

Mechanical comparison to other bone ingrowth scaffolds

As discussed above, the mechanical characteristics of *OsteoSync* Ti compare favorably to other clinically used porous coatings and bone ingrowth scaffolds. For reference, Figure 5 displays the mechanical properties of *OsteoSync* Ti along with those of some other bone ingrowth scaffolds.

Conclusion

The mechanical performance of *OsteoSync* Ti, an open-celled titanium scaffold for bone and tissue ingrowth, has been assessed through extensive testing. *OsteoSync* Ti satisfies FDA strength requirements, and it does not corrode when combined with a CoCr implant substrate. It has better friction characteristics and results in less abrasive wear than other clinically available bone ingrowth scaffolds.

Figure 5:

		ZIMMER	ZIMMER	WRIGHT	PLOMET	DEDUV
	OSTEOSYNC Ti	TRABECULAR	FIBER	MEDICAL		CRIDTION
		METAL	METAL	BIOFOAM	REGENEREA	GRIPTION
MANUFACTURING	Diffusion	Chemical Vapor	Diffusion			23.24
PROCESS	Bonding	Deposition ¹⁹	Bonding ²¹			Sintering
POROSITY	58.8% ¹	75-80% ²⁰	40-50% ²¹	60-70% ¹⁷	67% ²¹	63% ²³
MEAN PORE SIZE (μm)	523 ¹	440 ²⁰	100-400 ²¹	530 ¹⁷	300 ²¹	300 ²³
COEFFICIENT OF FRICTION	>114	.4698 ^{15,21,22}	0.63 ²¹	.58 ¹⁷		1.2 ²³
MASS LOSS TO ABRASION	0.19% ¹⁶			13% ¹⁷		
STRUCTURAL STIFFNESS (GPa)	8.8 ¹⁸	2.5-3.9 ²¹	106-115 ²¹	2.9 ¹⁷	1.6 ²¹	

The mechanical characteristics of OsteoSync Ti as compared to other clinically used porous coatings and bone ingrowth scaffolds.

References

- 1. Sites Medical Report 2007-001-18. "BioSync Ti: A Microstructure Assessment." REV B. 2011.
- ASTM F67, Standard Specification for Unalloyed Titanium for Surgical Implant.
- Guidance Document for Testing Orthopedic Implants With Modified Metallic Surfaces Apposing Bone or Bone Cement. FDA. 1994.
- 4. Sites Medical Report 2007-001-34. "BioSync-Ti: Metallurgical Assessment as Bonded to Forged Ti and Forged/Machined Ti." REV A. 2015.
- Sites Medical Report 2007-001-32. "Bonding BioSync-Ti to a Cast CoCr Substrate: A Strength Assessment." REV A. 2014.
- 6. ASTM F 1147, Standard Test Method for Tension Testing of Calcium Phosphate and Metallic Coatings.
- 7. ASTM F 1044, Standard Test Method for Shear Testing of Calcium Phosphate Coatings and Metallic Coatings.
- ASTM F 1160, Standard Test Method for Shear and Bending Fatigue Testing of Calcium Phosphate and Metallic Medical and Composite Calcium Phosphate/Metallic Coatings.
- 9. FDA PMA P050016. Cormet Hip Resurfacing System. Approved 2007.
- 10. Sites Medical Report 2007-001-22. "A Corrosion Assessment of BioSyne Ti Bonded to Wrought CoCr Alloys." REV A.
- Sites Medical Report 2012-001-01. "A Corrosion Assessment of BioSync-Ti Bonded to Cast CoCr Femoral Knees Manufactured with Castings from IMDS." REV B. 2014.
- Medlin, D.J., Scrafton, J., and Shetty, R. "Metallurgical Attachment of a Porous Tantalum Foam to a Titanium Substrate for Orthopedic Applications." <u>Journal of ASTM</u> <u>International</u> v.2 n.10 (2005).
- 13. Shirazi-Adl, A., Dammak, M., Paiement, G. "Experimental

Determination of Friction Characteristics at the Trabecular Bone/Porous-coated Metal Interface in Cementless Implants." Journal of Biomedical Materials Research. 27 (1993): 167-175.

- Sites Medical Report 2007-001-23. "The Friction Properties of BioSync Ti." REV A. 2011.
- Brownhill, J., Wong, K., Obert, R., Scholvin, D., Mosely, J., Carroll, M., Urban, R.M., Turner, T.M., Hall, D.J., Waddell, D.D., Rasmussen, G.L. "Biofoam Cancellous Titanium Fixation for Orthopedic Implant Applications: Lateral Column Lengthening." Wright Medical Document FA499-909. 2010.
- Sites Medical Report 2007-001-20. "BioSync Ti: An Assessment of Abrasion During Implantation." REV B. 2011.
- 17. Biofoam Technical Monograph MI023-109. Wright Medical. 2009.
- Sites Medical Test Report 2007-001-61. "OsteoSync Ti: Young's Modulus and Compressive Yield Strength." REV A. 2020.
- Bobyn, et al. "Characteristics of Bone Ingrowth and Interface Mechanics of a New Porous Tantalum Biomaterial." <u>The</u> <u>Journal of Bone and Joint Surgeries (Br)</u> 81-B (1999): 907-913.
- Bobyn JD, Hacking SA, Chan SP, et al. "Characterization of new porous tantalum biomaterial for reconstructive orthopaedics." Scientific Exhibition: 66th Annual Meeting of the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons; 1999; Anaheim, CA.
- Levine, B. "A New Era in Porous Metals: Applications in Orthopaedics." <u>Advanced Engineering Materials</u>. 10 (2008): 788-792.
- Zhang, et al. "Interfacial Frictional Behavior: Cancellous Bone, Cortical Bone, and a Novel Porous Tantalum Biomaterial." <u>Journal</u> of <u>Musculoskeletal Research.</u> 3 (1999): 245-251.
- Gription. The New Look of Fixation. DePuy Form 0612-38-506. 2007.
- 24. Pinnacle Acetabular Cup System Design Rationale. DePuy Form 0612-25-505. 2007.